Student Performance Data Workbook

March 2013

Table of Contents


Section 1: NECAP Scores Multi-School Comparisons – Grade 10	
Teaching Grade 10 All Students 2007-2012	
Multi-Year NECAP Reading Scores Percent "Proficient" or Higher Graph	
Multi-Year NECAP Reading Scores Average Scaled Score Graph	3
Multi-Year NECAP Math Scores Percent "Proficient" or Higher Graph	4
Multi-Year NECAP Math Scores Average Scaled Score Graph	5
Section 2: NECAP Scores Multi-School Comparisons – Grade 7	
Teaching Grade 7 All Students Fall 2005 to 2012 Reading	6
Teaching Grade 7 All Students Fall 2005 to 2012 Math	7
Multi-Year NECAP Reading Scores Percent "Proficient" or Higher Graph	8
Multi-Year NECAP Reading Scores Average Scaled Score Graph	9
Multi-Year NECAP Math Scores Percent "Proficient" or Higher Graph	
Multi-Year NECAP Math Scores Average Scaled Score Graph	1
Section 3: WWSU Multi-Year NECAP Scores – All Students – Grades 2-6	
WWSU Multi-Year NECAP Scores – All Students Teaching Grade 2-6	
Multi-Year NECAP Reading Scores Percent "Proficient" or Higher Graph	
Multi-Year NECAP Reading Scores Average Scaled Score Graph	
Multi-Year NECAP Math Scores Percent "Proficient" or Higher Graph	
Multi-Year NECAP Math Scores Average Scaled Score Graph	
Multi-Year NECAP Writing Scores Percent "Proficient" or Higher Graph	
Multi-Year NECAP Writing Scores Average Scaled Score Graph	


	Multi-Year NECAP Science Scores Percent "Proficient" or Higher Graph	19
	Multi-Year NECAP Science Scores Average Scaled Score Graph	20
Sect	ion 4: WWSU Multi-Year NECAP Scores – All Students – Grades 7 or 11	
	Multi-Year NECAP Scores – All Students Teaching Grades 7 or 11	21
	Multi-Year NECAP Reading Scores Percent "Proficient" or Higher Grades 5 to 7 Graph	22
	Multi-Year NECAP Reading Scores Average Scaled Score Graph Grades 5 to 7 Graph	23
	Multi-Year NECAP Math Scores Percent "Proficient" or Higher Grades 5 to 7 Graph	24
	Multi-Year NECAP Math Scores Average Scaled Score Grades 5 to 7 Graph	25
	Multi-Year NECAP Reading Scores Percent "Proficient" or Higher Grade 7 Graph	26
	Multi-Year NECAP Reading Scores Average Scaled Score Grade 7 Graph	27
	Multi-Year NECAP Math Scores Percent "Proficient" or Higher Grade 7 Graph	28
	Multi-Year NECAP Math Scores Average Scaled Score Grade 7 Graph	29
	Multi-Year NECAP Science Scores Percent "Proficient" or Higher Grade 8 Graph	30
	Multi-Year NECAP Science Scores Average Scaled Score Grade 8 Graph	31
	Multi-Year NECAP Reading Scores Percent "Proficient" or Higher Grade 10 Graph	32
	Multi-Year NECAP Reading Scores Average Scaled Score Grade 10 Graph	33
	Multi-Year NECAP Math Scores Percent "Proficient" or Higher Grade 10 Graph	34
	Multi-Year NECAP Math Scores Average Scaled Score Grade 10 Graph	35
	Multi-Year NECAP Writing Scores Percent "Proficient" or Higher Grade 10 Graph	36
	Multi-Year NECAP Science Scores Percent "Proficient" or Higher Grade 11 Graph	37
	Multi-Year NECAP Science Scores Average Scaled Score Grade 11 Graph	38
Sect	ion 5: New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) Grades 2 – 6, Grades 7 & 10 – 6 and 7 Years At-A-Glance	
	Fayston Elementary – 8 Years At-A-Glance	39
	Moretown Elementary – 8 Years At-A-Glance	40
	Waitsfield Elementary – 8 Years At-A-Glance	41
	Warren Elementary – 8 Years At-A-Glance	42
	Waterbury-Duxbury – 8 Years At-A-Glance	43
	Harwood Union – 8 Years At-A-Glance	44


Section 6: 2011 WWSU Comparison of NECAP Scores All Students & by Gender, Income & Special Education Status


leaching Grades 2-6 in ´11-12	 45
NECAP Reading Scores Percent "Proficient" or Higher Grades 2-6 by Special Education Status Graph	46
NECAP Reading Scores Average Scaled Score Grades 2-6 by Special Education Status Graph	47
NECAP Math Scores Percent "Proficient" or Higher Grades 2-6 by Special Education Status Graph	48
NECAP Math Scores Average Scaled Score Grades 2-6 by Special Education Status Graph	49
Middle & High School in '11-12	50
NECAP Reading, Math & Writing Scores Percent "Proficient" or Higher Grade 8 Graph	51
NECAP Reading, Math & Writing Scores Average Scaled Score Grade 8 Graph	52
NECAP Reading Scores Percent "Proficient" or Higher Grade 8 – By Special Education Status Graph	53
NECAP Reading Scores Average Scaled Score Grade 8 – By Special Education Status Graph	 54
NECAP Math Scores Percent "Proficient" or Higher Grade 8 – By Special Education Status Graph	55
NECAP Math Scores Average Scaled Score Grade 8 – By Special Education Status Graph	56
NECAP Reading, Math & Writing Scores Percent "Proficient" or Higher Grade 11 – All Students Graph	57
NECAP Reading & Math Scores Average Scaled Score Grade 11 – All Students Graph	 58
NECAP Reading Scores Percent "Proficient" or Higher Grade 11 – By Special Education Status Graph	59
NECAP Reading Scores Average Scaled Score Grade 11 – By Special Education Status Graph	60
NECAP Math Scores Percent "Proficient" or Higher Grade 11 – By Special Education Status	 61
NECAP Math Scores Average Scaled Score Grade 11 – By Special Education Status Graph	 62


NECAP SCORES	S Teaching Grade 10, Multi-School Comparisons ALL STUDENTS 2007 to 2012 SS = Scaled Score; 40 = Proficient *P+PDSB: Proficient with Distinc ** SB: Substantially Below Proficient Frace FRL. Fall 2012 Fall 2011 Fall 2010 Fall 2009 Fall 2008 Fall 2007 6-year Cumulative Average														to 2012															
		%					SS = Scaled	Score; 40	= Proficient		*P+PDSB:	Proficient 8	R Proficient v	vith Distino	** SB: Subs	tantially Be	low Proficie	nt												
School & Teaching G	ade	FRL.	ı	Fall 20	012		F	all 201	1			Fall 20	10			Fall 200	9			Fall 200	08			Fall 200)7		6-year Cun	nulative	Average	e
READING			Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*
Burlington	10	47%	48	244	71%	14%	47	240	70%	18%	48	251	71%	13%	46	255	69%	16%	48	216	77%	10%	41	256	55%	21%	46	1,462	69%	159
CVU	10	11%	53	268	87%	4%	51	327	87%	7%	50	331	84%	7%	47	324	74%	10%	47	319	79%	8%	48	319	80%	8%	49	1,888	82%	79
Colchester	10	21%	54	163	85%	3%	55	179	87%	2%	52	176	85%	5%	49	159	78%	4%					44	190	68%	11%	51	867	80%	59
Essex	10	17%	53	314	87%	6%	51	324	83%	6%	51	300	85%	6%	51	322	85%	8%	51	354	86%	3%	47	335	80%	7%	51	1,949	84%	69
Hartford	10	24%	46	155	71%	14%	47	140	73%	12%	45	162	68%	13%	44	142	67%	13%	45	152	74%	10%	40	164	55%	17%	44	915	68%	13%
Middlebury	10	26%	50	130	78%	10%	50	166	76%	10%	51	169	85%	7%	49	142	74%	11%	49	155	80%	10%	46	165	72%	7%	49	927	78%	99
Montpelier	10	21%	53	79	83%	3%	51	80	85%	10%	47	57	79%	11%	45	76	71%	13%	48	82	84%	5%	48	76	75%	8%	49	450	80%	89
Mt. Mansfield Union	10	16%	51	216	85%	5%	51	243	79%	12%	53	231	86%	6%	53	232	86%	4%	50	224	84%	7%	52	220	86%	4%	52	1,366	84%	69
South Burlington	10	20%	54	194	89%	4%	48	202	76%	10%	50	211	82%	4%	51	225	80%	5%	52	200	88%	5%	46	202	76%	7%	50	1,234	82%	69
Spaulding	10	33%	45	178	72%	11%	45	180	66%	12%	44	169	68%	9%	46	204	75%	7%	44	190	67%	11%	44	221	68%	11%	45	1,142	69%	109
St. Johnsbury Acad.	10	25%	47	143	80%	7%	48	148	75%	8%	47	173	43%	8%	46	162	74%	10%	44	185	68%	11%	45	189	69%	11%	46	1,000	68%	99
U32	10	30%	47	128	73%	10%	46	128	67%	15%	48	138	75%	7%	50	142	79%	6%	47	123	76%	9%	47	159	73%	8%	48	818	74%	99
HARWOOD UNION	10	22%	48	144	76%	9%	43	143	65%	14%	45	140	66%	14%	47	153	75%	9%	46	150	75%	4%	46	150	60%	10%	46	880	70%	109
GROUP	10	24%	50	181	74%	8%	49	2,500	76%	11%	49	2,508	75%	8%	48	2,538	77%	9%	48	2,350	79%	8%	46	2,646	71%	10%	48	14,898	77%	99
STATE	10	38%	47	6,445	74%	10%	47	6,664	73%	12%	47	6,872	72%	11%	46	6,888	69%	12%	46	6,211	73%	9%	44	6,232	68%	11%	46	39,312	71%	11%
Harwood Scaled Score	e as	Perce																												
Group Average			96%				88%				92%				97%				96%				101%				95%			
State Average			102%				91%				96%				102%				100%				105%				99%			
MATH			Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*
Burlington	10	47%	37	244	44%	38%	35	238	33%	40%	34	251	38%	40%	35	254	35%	42%	36	214	40%	35%	32	255	30%	50%	35	1,456	37%	419
CVU	10	11%	40	267	55%	21%	39	328	53%	25%	39	328	55%	23%	38	323	44%	27%	38	322	47%	26%	37	316	46%	31%	38	1,884	50%	269
Colchester	10	21%	40	162	56%	22%	40	179	51%	24%	40	177	54%	23%	37	159	41%	31%					33	187	25%	45%	38	864	45%	299
Essex	10	17%	42	312	61%	19%	40	321	51%	28%	41	300	63%	18%	40	318	60%	21%	41	351	61%	19%	37	333	44%	28%	40	1,935	57%	229
Hartford	10	24%	36	156	38%	32%	35	140	34%	47%	35	161	31%	35%	33	142	24%	48%	35	152	31%	36%	32	162	20%	51%	34	913	30%	419
Middlebury	10	26%	39	128	52%	27%	38	166	43%	36%	38	169	50%	25%	38	142	51%	30%	36	154	44%	29%	37	163	35%	32%	38	922	46%	309
Montpelier	10	21%	42	78	62%	22%	41	80	56%	21%	38	56	52%	25%	36	74	34%	41%	40	82	53%	18%	37	76	41%	26%	39	446	50%	259
Mt. Mansfield Union	10	16%	39	214	55%	27%	36	240	44%	35%	39	229	55%	24%	39	230	52%	21%	38	224	45%	29%	38	220	48%	29%	38	1,357	50%	289
South Burlington	10	20%	40	195	52%	25%	39	202	48%	31%	39	210	50%	24%	40	224	52%	22%	39	198	48%	22%	37	202	41%	28%	39	1,231	49%	259
Spaulding	10	33%	36	176	34%	40%	37	180	38%	33%	35	167	32%	38%	38	204	50%	25%	36	190	35%	32%	35	219	36%	39%	36	1,136	38%	349
St. Johnsbury Acad.	10	25%	37	143	34%	36%	37	148	41%	33%	37	173	39%	29%	36	162	35%	34%	35	185	35%	36%	35	190	29%	38%	36	1,001	35%	349
U32	10	30%	37	127	41%	35%	36	127	42%	37%	37	136	47%	35%	39	142	49%	29%	37	123	43%	27%	38	158	44%	27%	37	813	44%	319
HARWOOD UNION		22%	38	141	43%	31%	36	143	34%	38%	37	140	45%	30%	37	152	58%	36%	35	148	33%	41%	36	151	46%	30%	36	875	43%	34'
GROUP			39	180				2,492	44%	33%	38		47%			2,526	46%			2,343	44%	29%		2,632	38%	35%		14,833	45%	309
STATE	10	38%	36	6,420	38%	38%	36	6,410	36%	39%	36	6,830	38%	36%	36	6,715	35%	37%	36	6,185	36%	35%	34	6,200	31%	41%	36	38,760	36%	389
Harwood Scaled Score		Perce										1																		
Group Average			97%				95%				97%				98%				93%				101%				97%			
State Average	l		106%				100%				103%				103%				97%				106%				102%			


















NECAP SCOP	RES	T	eachi	ng Gı	rade 7	, Mult	i-Scho	ol Co	mpar	isons												ALL	. STU	DEN.	TS	Fal	200	5 to 2	012										
School	l &	%					SS = Sc	aled Sco	ore; 40 = I	Proficient						*P+PD	SB: Profic	cient &	Proficient	with D	istinction	** SB:	Substan	tially Be	elow Pro	oficient										8-Year			
Teaching Gra	ade F	₹L.		Fall	2012			Fall	2011			Fall 201	10			Fall 20	009			Fall 2	800			Fall 2	007			Fall 2	2006			Fall 200)5			Cumulative	Avera	ge	
READING		Δ	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*	Avg S	s N	P+PD**	SB*	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*		Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*
Albert Lawton	7 2	3%	58	109	94%	3%	56	109	89%	0%	53	111	78%	4%	56	99	88%	5%	49	137	74%	4%	51	95	85%	6%	5	0 123	86%	6%	48	127	76%	6%		52	910	83%	4%
Barre Town	7 2	6%	48	93	77%	6%	51	77	79%	4%	54	105	84%	2%	51	96	81%	2%	46	107	66%	7%	47	106	76%	9%	4	8 110	75%	6%	46	106	76%	5%		49	800	77%	5%
Browns River	7 1	2%	58	105	94%	1%	58	86	92%	3%	58	121	90%	0%	50	113	82%	4%					50	118	81%	3%	4	9 120	76%	3%	49	113	76%	3%		53	776	84%	2%
Burlington	7 4	6%	50	132	78%	13%	50	132	78%	13%	49	121	72%	10%	51	237	76%	9%	47	229	71%	15%	48	239	70%	12%	4	4 274	62%	15%	48	220	71%	7%		48	1,584	71%	12%
Camel's Hump	7 2	2%	54	97	85%	5%	62	93	92%	0%	54	81	85%	2%	54	96	84%	3%	53	98	80%	3%	53	111	83%	5%	4	9 104	80%	5%	47	95	69%	6%		53	775	82%	4%
Charlotte	7 1	1%	59	56	98%	0%	58	45	89%	7%	54	50	88%	6%	56	50	90%	2%	54	47	94%	0%	52	57	86%	0%	5	2 58	89%	2%	51	76	86%	1%		54	439	90%	2%
Colchester	7 2	5%	52	190	83%	3%	50	164	80%	6%	47	161	74%	7%	49	159	73%	12%					49	168	80%	3%	4	3 198	61%	12%	44	183	61%	10%		48	1,223	73%	8%
Essex	7 2	0%	55	145	88%	1%	59	128	89%	2%	52	140	90%	2%	55	156	93%	1%	53	143	85%	1%	52	160	88%	4%	4	8 130	82%	4%	50	168	82%	1%		53	1,170	87%	2%
Hartford	7 3	4%	49	120	81%	7%	51	112	79%	4%	51	94	79%	2%	53	123	81%	5%	52	106	78%	8%	52	133	75%	11%	4	8 111	76%	6%	48	121	74%	8%		51	920	78%	7%
Hinesburg	7 2	2%	57	50	90%	2%	60	42	95%	0%	56	55	84%	4%	60	44	91%	0%	54	65	83%	3%	56	47	81%	11%	4	8 75	72%	8%	49	46	74%	4%		54	424	83%	4%
Montpelier	7 2	8%	52	62	86%	5%	52	42	88%	2%	50	68	87%	4%	53	79	86%	5%	48	84	73%	10%	50	53	79%	2%	4	6 90	66%	14%	51	77	86%	3%		50	555	80%	6%
Shelburne	7 1	2%	56	85	88%	4%	58	79	95%	0%	53	100	86%	3%	55	88	92%	1%	52	97	80%	8%	52	82	78%	2%	5	104	91%	3%	56	99	91%	4%		54	734	88%	3%
South Burlington	7 1	7%	59	167	94%	2%	56	170	90%	2%	58	173	89%	5%	57	179	86%	4%	53	178	85%	4%	54	174	86%	3%	4	9 205	81%	7%	53	174	86%	2%		55	1,420	87%	4%
U32	7 3	0%	51	114	78%	8%	51	124	79%	5%	51	119	79%	3%	49	116	75%	9%	46	112	64%	11%	49	124	73%	5%	4	8 142	75%	6%	44	113	62%	9%		49	964	73%	7%
Williston	7 1	6%	57	110	91%	1%	54	130	85%	3%	57	131	90%	2%	54	99	84%	4%	51	128	82%	9%					5	129	84%	5%	48	125	77%	6%		53	852	85%	4%
CROSSETT BROOK	7 3	3%	51	70	76%	4%	50	73	71%	10%	52	56	79%	9%	53	82	86%	5%	47	68	74%	5%	49	83	70%	8%	4	3 75	64%	19%	44	84	65%	10%		48	591	73%	9%
HARWOOD UNION	7 2	4%	59	64	99%	0%	54	70	81%	7%	50	64	78%	6%	54	73	86%	1%	46	83	78%	7%	49	70	84%	0%	4	8 80	71%	25%	48	71	74%	7%		51	575	81%	7%
GROUP	2	4%	54	1,769	87%	4%	54	1,676	85%	4%	53	1,750	83%	4%	53	1,889	83%	5%	50	1,682	77%	7%	51	1,820	79%	6%	4	8 2,128	75%	9%	48	1,998	75%	6%		51	14,712	80%	6%
STATE	3	8%	51	6,313	80%	6%	51	6,467	79%	7%	50	6,549	77%	6%	49	6,559	74%	8%	46	6,493	69%	9%	47	6,723	69%	10%	4	5 6,868	65%	11%	44	6,968	63%	10%	ſ	48	52,940	72%	8%
Crossett Brook Sc	caled \$	Score	e as Per	cent o	f:																																		
Group Average	7		94%				92%				98%				100%				94%				97%				90%	6			91%					95%			
State Average	7		100%				98%				104%				108%				102%				104%				96%	6			100%				Į	101%			
Harwood Scaled S	Score	as Pe	ercent c	f:			_												_								_												
Group Average	7	I	109%				99%				94%				102%				92%				97%				1019	_			99%				ſ	99%			
State Average	7		116%				106%				100%				110%				100%				104%				107%	6			109%					106%			

NECAP SCOP	RES	T	eachi	ng G	rade 7	, Mult	i-Scho	ool Co	mpari	sons												ALL	STU	DEN ¹	ΓS	Fall	2005	to 20	012									
Schoo	. A	%					SS = Sc	aled Sco	ore; 40 = F	roficient						*P+PD	SB: Profi	cient &	Proficien	t with Di	istinction	1 ** SB:	Substan	itially Be	elow Pro	ficient									8-Year			
Teaching Gra	ade F	RL.		Fall	2012			Fall	2011			Fall 20	10			Fall 20	009			Fall 2	800			Fall 20	007			Fall 2	006		Fall 20	05			Cumulative	Averag	ge	
MATH			Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*	Avg SS	N	P+PD** SB	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*	1	Avg SS	N	P+PD**	SB*
Albert Lawton	7	23%	47	109	79%	6%	48	109	74%	8%	46	111	66%	14%	50	99	84%	6%	45	137	72%	9%	46	95	79%	14%	47	121	81% 119	6 46	127	80%	9%		47	908	77%	10%
Barre Town	7	26%	40	92	54%	23%	43	77	64%	21%	45	105	74%	11%	44	96	71%	10%	42	107	65%	13%	41	106	58%	17%	43	110	67% 15%	6 42	106	57%	13%		43	799	64%	15%
Browns River	7	12%	49	105	86%	6%	49	86	79%	6%	47	121	82%	8%	46	112	73%	9%	,				47	118	82%	7%	45	120	83% 89	6 47	113	78%	8%		47	775	80%	7%
Burlington	7	46%	43	133	65%	21%	43	133	65%	21%	41	121	54%	25%	42	240	64%	23%	40	229	57%	25%	40	241	54%	23%	39	271	48% 32%	6 42	225	59%	19%		41	1,593	57%	24%
Camel's Hump	7	22%	46	97	73%	12%	49	93	84%	9%	47	81	76%	10%	44	96	68%	20%	42	98	61%	19%	44	111	69%	12%	42	104	60% 20%	6 43	95	63%	19%		45	775	69%	15%
Charlotte	7	11%	52	56	94%	2%	50	45	80%	16%	48	50	80%	10%	50	50	80%	4%	47	47	75%	2%	50	57	86%	4%	49	58	85% 5%	6 48	77	88%	1%		49	440	84%	5%
Colchester	7	25%	44	190	69%	12%	44	164	68%	13%	42	161	63%	16%	45	160	78%	11%					46	167	76%	7%	41	198	57% 25%	6 42	182	60%	18%		43	1,222	67%	15%
Essex	7	20%	47	145	81%	8%	48	130	78%	8%	46	140	78%	10%	48	156	84%	4%	49	143	86%	5%	49	159	83%	5%	48	129	80% 89	6 46	168	81%	7%		48	1,170	81%	7%
Hartford	7	34%	43	120	64%	21%	43	112	63%	16%	43	94	68%	14%	42	124	61%	16%	44	106	68%	14%	41	133	62%	20%	41	111	58% 18%	6 42	121	63%	17%		42	921	63%	17%
Hinesburg	7	22%	45	50	78%	10%	50	42	83%	0%	47	55	78%	9%	47	44	77%	9%	47	65	78%	8%	47	47	77%	9%	44	75	69% 17%	6 46	47	83%	9%		46	425	77%	9%
Montpelier	7	28%	43	62	61%	21%	46	42	71%	7%	46	68	76%	9%	49	79	83%	5%	46	82	72%	12%	46	53	78%	8%	42	90	57% 26%	6 46	76	78%	8%		45	552	72%	13%
Shelburne	7	12%	46	85	76%	8%	49	79	87%	3%	48	100	80%	4%	50	88	91%	3%	47	98	80%	15%	47	82	80%	10%	49	104	90% 89	6 48	99	79%	2%		48	735	83%	7%
South Burlington	7	17%	50	168	86%	5%	48	169	79%	12%	51	173	81%	8%	49	180	80%	10%	50	176	84%	5%	47	173	81%	8%	48	206	84% 10%	6 50	174	86%	4%		49	1,419	83%	8%
U32	7	30%	43	113	62%	17%	43	124	60%	16%	44	119	68%	14%	44	116	79%	20%	44	112	62%	15%	43	124	63%	22%	44	142	79% 15%	6 44	112	67%	15%		44	962	68%	17%
Williston	7	16%	45	109	74%	9%	46	130	74%	8%	48	131	84%	8%	47	99	77%	12%	47	129	81%	12%					47	129	82% 10%	6 46	125	74%	12%		47	852	78%	10%
CROSSETT BROOK	7	33%	40	70	54%	26%	40	73	55%	30%	44	56	69%	18%	46	82	76%	15%	43	68	68%	16%	44	83	67%	16%	41	77	50% 25%	6 44	84	62%	10%		43	593	63%	19%
HARWOOD UNION	7	24%	48	64	86%	5%	47	70	77%	13%	47	64	72%	16%	49	73	82%	10%	45	83	72%	11%	47	70	74%	3%	46	80	71% 13%	6 45	71	69%	14%		47	575	75%	11%
GROUP		24%	45	1,768	73%	12%	46	1,678	72%	13%	46	1,750	73%	12%	46	1,894	76%	12%	45	1,680	72%	13%	45	1,819	71%	13%	44	2,125	69% 17%	6 45	2,002	71%	12%		45	14,716	72%	13%
STATE		38%	43	6,315	64%	18%	43	6,468	63%	19%	43	6,546	64%	18%	43	6,552	65%	18%	42	6,486	71%	12%	42	6,722	60%	19%	41	6,862	59% 23%	6 42	6,958	58%	18%		42	52,909	63%	18%
Crossett Brook So	caled	Scor	e as Pei	rcent o	f:																																	
Group Average	7		88%				87%				96%				100%				95%				98%				93%			98%					95%			
State Average	7		93%				93%				102%				107%				102%				105%				100%			105%					101%			
Harwood Scaled S	core	as P	ercent c	of:			_				_				_				_								_			_								
Group Average	7		106%				102%				102%				106%				100%				105%				104%			100%					103%			
State Average	7		112%				109%				109%				114%				107%				112%				112%			107%					110%			














WWSU MULTI-YEAR NECAP SCORES All Students Teaching Grades 2 to 6 TEST UNIT Fayston 2-6 Moretown 2-6 Waitsfield 2-6 Warren 2-6 Wat-Dux 2-6 WWSU 2-6 STATE 2-6														
TEST UNIT	Faysto	n 2-6	Moretow	vn 2-6	Waitsfie	ld 2-6	Warrer	ı 2-6	Wat-Dux	c 2-6	wwsu	2-6	STATE	2-6
Test Date:	% Proficient	Scaled Score	% Proficient	Scaled Score	% Proficient	Scaled Score	% Proficient	Scaled Score	% Proficient	Scaled Score	% Proficient	Scaled Score	% Proficient	Scaled Score
READING: Stud	dents in g	rades 2 t	o 6 the prev	vious yea	ar.									
FALL 2005	75%	47	76%	48	77%	49	84%	52	75%	47	76%	48	66%	45
FALL 2006	80%	48	74%	46	80%	50	88%	51	70%	45	75%	47	69%	45
FALL 2007	72%	47	81%	51	85%	53	88%	54	72%	46	77%	48	70%	46
FALL 2008	83%	47	91%	54	78%	51	87%	53	73%	48	79%	50	72%	46
FALL 2009	83%	48	88%	55	80%	51	98%	55	76%	48	82%	50	72%	46
FALL 2010	80%	49	91%	56	79%	49	88%	54	75%	48	83%	51	72%	46
FALL 2011	83%	50	n/a	n/a	81%	49	93%	55	80%	50	83%	51	73%	47
FALL 2012	85%	49	82%	49	85%	49	88%	55	81%	50	84%	50	72%	46
MATH: Student	ts in grade	es 2 to 6	the previou	s year.										
FALL 2005	78%	47	72%	47	80%	50	78%	50	79%	47	78%	48	63%	43
FALL 2006	78%	50	71%	46	84%	50	89%	51	70%	45	75%	47	65%	43
FALL 2007	92%	51	82%	49	82%	49	90%	52	70%	45	78%	48	64%	43
FALL 2008	85%	50	85%	52	80%	50	86%	51	72%	46	78%	48	66%	44
FALL 2009	91%	51	83%	50	75%	48	92%	54	75%	46	80%	48	66%	44
FALL 2010	91%	52	85%	50	71%	45	84%	51	66%	44	78%	49	66%	44
FALL 2011	83%	50	n/a	n/a	81%	49	93%	55	80%	50	83%	51	66%	44
FALL 2012	91%	51	75%	47	76%	47	87%	50	70%	45	80%	48	73%	45
WRITING: Stud	lents in gr	ade 4 the	previous y	ear.										
FALL 2005	82%	43	45%	39	58%	44	63%	47	40%	35	54%	39	51%	39
FALL 2006	80%	49	44%	36	55%	42	94%	57	47%	38	57%	42	50%	40
FALL 2007	71%	45	60%	44	56%	43	67%	52	45%	37	55%	41	49%	40
FALL 2008	75%	49	83%	60	60%	46	90%	54	54%	41	65%	43	56%	44
FALL 2010	56%	44	81%	51	72%	45	84%	49	47%	39	61%	43	50%	41
FALL 2011	73%	43	n/a	n/a	25%	32	79%	48	59%	42	59%	42	46%	39
FALL 2012	72%	50	45%	42	53%	42	67%	46	55%	41	58%	44	50%	41
SCIENCE: Stud	dents in gr	ade 4 wh	nen tested i	n (Sp	ring '09 scie	ence and	Fall '09 rea	ading/m	ath/writing,	etc. are	the same te	st cohort	graduating o	class.)
SPRING 2008	94%	49	84%	48	58%	42	64%	44	55%	40	66%	43	48%	40
SPRING 2009	93%	52	89%	48	94%	51	76%	46	58%	41	73%	45	52%	40
SPRING 2010	83%	46	81%	47	70%	44	95%	48	52%	40	76%	45	54%	40
SPRING 2011	92%	51	70%	45	36%	32	60%	47	64%	42	64%	43	54%	40
SPRING 2012	73%	46	73%	47	62%	42	76%	46	53%	39	62%	42	53%	40





































WWSU MUL	TI-YEAR	NECA	P SCORE	ES AI	I Student	s Te	aching G	rades	7 or 11 (p	lus grad	e 5-7 compo	site resul	lts)		1		1		1	
TEST UNIT	Crossett Br. 7 Grades		Valley So Teaching Gr		WWSU Te Grades	_	State Tea Grades	-	CBMS Teach 7th	_	HUMS Teach 7th	-	WWSU Teac	-	State Teach	ing Year	Harwood T Year 1	-	State Tead	-
Test Date:	% Proficient	Scaled Score	% Proficient	Scaled Score	% Proficient	Scaled Score	% Proficient	Scaled Score	% Proficient	Scaled Score	% Proficient	Scaled Score	% Proficient	Scaled Score	% Proficient	Scaled Score	% Proficient	Scaled Score	% Proficient	Scaled Score
READING: Stu	dents in g	rades 7	or 10 the p	revious	year. (Also,	students	in grades 5	to 7 the p	revious year	, for com	posite 5-7 cor	mparisons	s.)							
FALL 2005	68%	47	81%	46	75%	46	63%	45	65%	44	75%	48	69%	46	63%	44				
FALL 2006	70%	46	80%	46	75%	46	67%	45	64%	43	71%	48	68%	46	65%	45				
FALL 2007	77%	47	82%	47	80%	47	71%	47	70%	49	84%	49	76%	49	69%	47	71%	46	68%	. 44
FALL 2008	83%	48	80%	47	82%	48	72%	47	78%	47	72%	46	76%	47	69%	46	71%	46	73%	46
FALL 2009	79%	48	83%	47	81%	48	72%	47	90%	54	87%	54	87%	54	74%	49	78%	47	69%	46
FALL 2010	75%	49	86%	47	81%	48	74%	47	80%	51	80%	50	80%	50	77%	50	66%	45	72%	47
FALL 2011	73%	49	85%	48	79%	49	76%	49	71%	50	81%	54	76%	52	76%	51	65%	43	72%	47
FALL 2012	80%	51	94%	56	87%	54	75%	48	76%	51	99%	59	88%	55	80%	51	76%	48	74%	47
MATH: Studen	ts in grade	es 7 or 1	0 the previ	ous year	r. (Also, stud	dents in g	rades 5 to 7	the previ	ous year, for	composit	e 5-7 compa	risons.)								
FALL 2005	71%	45	78%	44	75%	45	60%	42	61%	44	69%	45	65%	44	58%	42				
FALL 2006	67%	43	78%	43	73%	43	62%	42	61%	41	71%	46	66%	44	59%	41				
FALL 2007	72%	45	80%	44	76%	45	62%	43	67%	44	74%	47	71%	45	60%	42	46%	36	31%	34
FALL 2008	73%	46	79%	45	76%	46	64%	43	67%	43	74%	45	71%	44	63%	42	33%	35	36%	36
FALL 2009	71%	46	80%	45	76%	46	65%	44	76%	46	82%	49	79%	48	65%	43	45%	37	36%	36
FALL 2010	64%	43	81%	44	73%	43	64%	44	69%	44	72%	47	71%	45	64%	43	45%	37	38%	36
FALL 2011	61%	43	80%	44	71%	43	64%	44	55%	40	77%	47	65%	43	64%	43	34%	36	36%	36
FALL 2012	61%	42	86%	49	74%	46	67%	43	54%	40	86%	48	70%	44	64%	43	43%	38	38%	36
WRITING: Stud	dents in gr	ades 7 d	or 10 the pr	evious y	ear.															
FALL 2005									39%	34	59%	44	49%	39	54%	40				
FALL 2006									47%	39	54%	43	51%	41	48%	40				
FALL 2007									53%	39	50%	42	52%	41	47%	40	48%		39%	
FALL 2008									57%	42	59%	41	58%	41	54%	42	44%		51%	1
FALL 2010									47%	40	63%	42	55%	41	62%	42	57%		49%	
FALL 2011									61%	42	54%	40	58%	41	59%	41	45%		48%	1
FALL 2012									58%	42	91%	51	75%	66	66%	43	54%		47%	
SCIENCE: Stu	dents in gr	ade 8 o	r 11 when t	ested in	: (Spring '	08 scienc	e and Fall '0	7 reading	g/math/writing	g, etc., are	e the same te	st cohort/	graduating cla	ass.)						
SPRING 2008									43%	37	41%	38	42%	38	26%	34	37%	36	37%	34
SPRING 2009									36%	36	16%	32	25%	34	24%	34	28%	33	27%	34
SPRING 2010									58%	41	40%	39	49%	40	29%	35	37%	36	28%	34
SPRING 2011									53%	40	38%	37	46%	39	29%	35	27%	34	30%	34
SPRING 2012									49%	38	31%	35	40%	36	30%	35	29%	34	33%	35



























































By "Teaching Grade", Grades 2 to 6

Fayston Elementary - 8 Years At-A-Glance

The NECAP tests grade 3 to 6 students in October, and results are reported here by "teaching grade", that is, by the grade the students were in the previous school year. NECAP results are shown in two ways; one, the percentage of students who met or exceeded the predetermined standard of proficiency, by subject; and two, the average score for each subject. The percentage achieving the proficiency standard can fluctuate greatly when a large number of students just barely "meet the standard" (a score of 40) one year, and then just barely miss it the pert year. When this papers, the percentage score may drop or rise sharply although the average score is barely different. For this reason, it is best to keep an eye on both "% proficient" and actual scores in drawing conclusions.

miss it the next year																									one year,	and then jus	Darely		
					Proficient wit					SS Ave						,.													
Subject:	Reading	1								Math											1	Writing							
Test Date:	Oct. '05	Oct. '06	Oct. '07	Oct. '08	Oct. '09	Oct. '10	Oct. '11	Oct	t. '12	Oct. '05	Oct. '06	Oct. '	07	Oct. '08	0	ct. '09	Oct. '10	Od	ct. '11	Oct. '12		Oct. '05	Oct. '06	3 (Oct. '07	Oct. '08	Oct. '10	Oct. '11	Oct. '12
Class of:		% SS				% S		SS %		% SS				%		% SS			% SS					SS				% SS	% SS
All FES	75% 47	80% 48	72% 47	83% 47	83% 48	80%	49 83%	50 85	5% 49	78% 47	78%	50 92%	51	85%	50 9	91% 51	89%	52 9	2% 52%	91%	51	82% 43	80%	49	71% 45	75% 49	56% 44	72% 43	72% 50
Grades WWSU		75% 47	77% 48	79% 50	82% 50 72% 46	80%	50 84%	52 84	1% 50	78% 48	75%	47 78%	48	78%	48	80% 48	74%	47 7	77% 47.6	80%	48 45	54% 39	57%	42 40	55% 41	65% 47	61% 43	59% 41	58% 54
[Gr. 2-6] State	66% 45	69% 45	70% 46	72% 46	72% 46	72%	46 73%	48 72	2% 46	63% 43	65%	43 64%	43	66%	44	66% 44	66%	44 6	66% 44	73%	45	51% 39	50%	40	49% 40	56% 44	50% 41	46% 39	50% 41
6th Gr. in FES	73% 47	·								82% 48	3																		
2004-05 WWSU										75% 47																			
State										60% 42		_																	
	89% 45	63% 4	3								88%	49																	
2005-06 WWSU State	84% 48	71% 4 6 67% 4	16								75%	47 42																	
			16								3 63%				_			-			-			+					
			3 71% 4	•••							80%	49 88%										82% 43	i i						
2006-07 WWSU State		6 77% 4 69% 4		7 ₹7						76% 46 63% 43	6 76% 3 64%	46 74% 43 61%									H	54% 39 51% 39							
i i																						51% 39							
6th Gr. in FES 2007-08 WWSU		80% 4 83% 4	9 62% 4	5 87% 50 62 86% 53							73% 83%	49 100% 49 88%	53	87%	51								80% 57%	49					
State				6 76% 49						65% 44		49 66%		63%	49								50%						
-				5 77% 43							2 68%	51 90%		82%	49	81% 48							0070		71% 4				
2008-09 WWSU				7 82% 49		<u>.</u> .				77% 48		46 72%		76%		73% 47									55% 4	••			
State		6 68% 4		5 71% 46		7				66% 44		43 64%		66%		62% 43	-							- 1	49% 4				
6th Gr. in FES				4 94% 47		69%	47				92%	50 92%				95% 52	79%	50								75% 49			
2009-10 WWSU			6 73% 4	7 76% 48			48				75%	46 70%	46	75%	46	77% 48		43								65% 47			
State				5 70% 46	71% 47	7 70%	47				68%	45 63%		68%	44	67% 44	62%	42								56% 44			
6th Gr. in FES			64% 4	7 83% 57	78% 47	87%	49 93%	52				91%	51	92%	56	93% 56	93%	56 9	93% 51										
2010-11 WWSU			79% 4	9 77% 51			53 80%	52				84%	50	81%		82% 49	78%	49	77% 46										
State			71% 4	5 70% 45	73% 46	74%	47 77%	50				68%	44	69%	45	67% 44	67%	44	63% 43										
6th Gr. in FES				79% 43	84% 48	75%	45 75%		8% 48					74%	45	84% 48				88%	46						56% 44		
2011-12 WWSU	H			75% 48			49 80%		3% 52					79%		81% 49				73%	45						61% 43		
State				71% 46			46 72%		4% 49					66%		69% 45				61%	42						50% 41		
6th Gr. in FES 2012-13 WWSU	14				100% 54 89% 52	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	53 91% 52 81%		2% 48 52	l						100% 52 87% 49			9 5% 52 73% 47	91% 76%	55 46							72% 43 59% 41	
State	H				73% 46	70%	46 74%	47 7	3% 47	1						66% 44	65%		65% 43	67%	40							46% 39	
6th Gr. in FES					10/0	76%	50 87%		6% 50							5370		52 9		93%	52							.570	72% 50
2013-14 WWSU						77%	47 83%	51 8	3% 49								78%		78% 48	72%	47								57% 43
State						72%	45 71%	46 7.	2% 46								68%	44	69% 45	65%	44								51% 40
6th Gr. in FES							69%	47 84]								8	87% 50	82%	50								
2014-15 WWSU	11						84%		33% 51										84% 50	83%	50								
State					1	1	72%		0% 45										65% 44	68%	45								
6th Gr. in FES									4% 50	Į.										95%	52								
2015-16 WWSU	11				1				9% 49											82%	49								
State	Ш				1			6	8% 45		1						ļ			65%	44								

By "Teaching Grade", Grades 2 to 6

Moretown Elementary - 8 Years At-A-Glance

The NECAP tests grade 3 to 6 students in October, and results are reported here by "teaching grade", that is, by the grade the students were in the previous school year. NECAP results are shown in two ways; one, the percentage of students who met or exceeded the predetermined standard of proficiency, by subject; and two, the average score for each subject. The percentage achieving the proficiency standard can fluctuate greatly when a large number of students just barely "meet the standard" (a score of 40) one year, and then just barely miss it the next year. When this happens, the percentage score may drop or rise sharply, although the average score is barely different. For this reason, it is best to keep an eye on both "% proficient" and actual scores in drawing conclusions.

miss it the next yea																				n, <u>it is</u>	best to	keep	an eye on b	oth "%	6 profici	ent" an	d actu	al scor	es in d	Irawing c	onclus	ions.									
KEY: % Pe	ercent of	student	ts with a	score	of "Pro	oficient"	" or "F	Proficie	nt with	n Distino	ction" ((scaled	scor	e of 40+)	SS -		age Sc	aled S	Score																						
Subject	: Read	ing													Mat	h														Writir	ng										
Test Date			ct. '06	Oct.		Oct. '08		Oct. '09)	Oct. '10		Oct. '1		Oct. '12	Oct. '0	05	Oct. '06		Oct. '0		Oct. '08		Oct. '09	Oct.'		Oct.'11		Oct. '12	2	Oct. '05		. '06		t. '07	Oct. '0		Oct.'10	Oct; '	11	Oct. '1	2
Class of:	% 5			_	SS			%	SS	%	SS	%	SS	% SS		SS	%				%		% SS	%	SS	%	SS	%	SS	% S		_	_	_	%		% SS	<u> </u>			
All MES		48 7	4% 46	81%	6 51	91%	54	88%	55	88%	56			82% 49	72%	47		46	82%	49	85%	52	83% 50		6 50			75%	47	45% 3	39 44	% 3	6 60	% 44	83%	60 1	82% 51			45%	
	J 76%	48 7	5% 47	77%	48	79%	50	82%	50	80%	50			84% 50	78%	48	75%	47	78%	48	78%	48	80% 48	74%	6 47			80%	48	54%	39 579	6 4	2 55	5% 41	65% 56%	47	61% 43			58%	44
[Gr. 2-6] State	e 66%	45 6	9% 45	70%	46	72%	46	72%	46	72%	46			72% 46	63%	43	65%	43	64%	43	66%	44	66% 44	66%	6 44			73%	45	51%	39 50%	6 4	0 49	9% 40	56%	44	50% 41	L		50%	41
6th Gr. in MES	S 88%	47													75%	45																						1			
2004-05 WWSL		47													75% 75%	47																									
	e 69%	44													60%																										
	S 80%	50 7	3% 4	6											60%		67%	48																				1			
2005-06 WWSL		48	71% 4												79%	48	75%	48 47																							
State	e 69%		67% 4	16											63%		63%	42																							
6th Gr. in MES	S 62%	44 8	3% 4	7 74%	6 46										68%	46	70%	43	70%	44										45%	39										
2006-07 WWSL				7 75%		**									76%		76%		74%												39										
State				4 76%	6 47										63%		64%		61%	42											39										
6th Gr. in MES	S 73%	52 8		6 83%		94%	56								87%	53	75%	51	88%	52	75%	50									4/	1%	36								
2007-08 WWSU			33% 4	8 85%	6 52	86%	53								82%			49	88%	50	80%										5		42								
State				5 719		76%	49	4							65%	44	65%		66%	44	63%	49 43									50	0%	40								
6th Gr. in MES	S 77%	48 6	57% 4	1 67%	6 49	85%	51	71%	47						69%	43	67%	43	73%	46	77%	50	79% 4	6									60)% 4	4						
2008-09 WWSL				7 70%			49	79%							77%	48	66%		72%	47	76%	48	73% 4	•••									55		••••						
State				5 70%		71%	46		47						66%	44	64%		64%	43	66%	44	62% 4										49	9% 4							•
6th Gr. in MES				9 84%		91%	58			84%	56						73%		88%	52	91%	53	82% 5	1 79	% 47										83%	60					
2009-10 WWSU			70% 4	6 73%	6 47	76%	48		50		48						75%	46	70%	46	75%	46	77% 4			21									65%						
State	 -		71% 4		6 45	70%	46										68%			43	68%	44	67% 4												56%	44					•
6th Gr. in MES	s			95%		95%	54										-		89%	50	85%	50	90% 5																		
2010-11 WWSU	-			79%			51	82%						•					84%	50	81%	50	82% 4				······														
State	-			719			45				47								68%	44	69%	45	67% 4																		
6th Gr. in MES	9					86%	50		52	100%	58			94% 5	3						93%	55	93% 5	2 88	% 52			88%	51								82% 51				
2011-12 WWSU						75%	48	80%	49					83% 5							79%	48	81% 4	9 76			ł	73%	45								61% 43				
State						71%	46	70%			46			74% 4	9					ı	66%	44	69% 4	_				61%	42								50% 41				
6th Gr. in MES	S							79%	50	79%	53			77% 5)								73% 4	7 69	% 50			73%	46												
2012-13 WWSL								89%			52			87% 5	2								87% 4					76%	46												
State								73%	46	70%	46			73% 4	7								66% 4	4 65	% 44			67%	44							\longrightarrow					
6th Gr. in MES	s									76%	52		ļ	91% 5	D									100	% 51	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	72%	49											45%	
2013-14 WWSL										77%				83% 4	9									78				72%	47												43
State										72%	45			72% 4	6									68	% 44			65%	44							\longrightarrow		\perp		51%	40
6th Gr. in MES														77% 4	ŝ												ļ	65%	43												
2014-15 WWSU														83% 5														83%	50												
State														70% 4														68%	45		\perp					\longrightarrow		₩		1	
6th Gr in MES														70% 4	5													69%	48												
2015-16 WWSL														79% 4	9													82%	49												
State	е													68% 4	5									<u> </u>				65%	44							$\perp \perp$		1			

By "Teaching Grade", Grades 2 to 6

Waitsfield Elementary - 8 Years At-A-Glance

The NECAP tests grade 3 to 6 students in October, and results are reported here by "teaching grade", that is, by the grade the students were in the previous school year. NECAP results are shown in two ways; one, the percentage of students who met or exceeded the predetermined standard of proficiency, by subject; and two, the average score for each subject. The percentage achieving the proficiency standard can fluctuate greatly when a large number of students just barely "meet the standard" (a score of 40) one year, and then just barely miss it the pert year. When this papers, the percentage score may drop or rise sharply, although the average score is barely different. For this reason, it is best to keep an eye on both "% proficient" and actual scores in drawing conclusions.

miss it th	e next ye	ar. Wh	en this	happe	ns, the	e perce	ntage	escore	may	drop o	r rise s	sharply,	, altho	ugh th	e aver	age score i	s bare	ly diffe	rent. Fo	or this	reason	it is t	pest to l	кеер а	an eye or	n both	"% pro	oficien	nt" and	actual	scores	s in dr	awing c	onclu	sions	<u> </u>	, , ,	,	, , ,	,			
KEY:	%	Percen	t of stud	dents w	ith a s	score of	"Pro	ficient"	or "P	Proficier	nt with	Distino	ction" ((scaled	score	of 40+)	SS	Ave	rage So	caled S	Score																						
	Subje	t: Re	ading	9													Ma	ath															Writir	ng									
	Test Da	e: Oct	. '05	Oct. 'C	06	Oct. '0	7	Oct. '08	8	Oct. '09)	Oct.'10		Oct.'11		Oct. '12		. '05	Oct. '0		Oct. '07		Oct. '08		Oct. '09		ct.'10		Oct.'11		ct. '12		Oct. '05		ct. '06		Oct. '07			Oct. '10	Oct. '1	11	Oct. '12
Class of	:	%	SS	%	SS	%	SS	% !	SS	%	SS	%	SS	%	SS	% SS	%	SS	%	SS	%	SS	%	SS	% 8	SS	% 5	SS	%	SS	%	SS	% S	s %	Ď	SS	% S	SS 9	% SS	% SS	%	SS	% SS
All	WF	S 77	% 49	80%	50	85%	53	78%	51	80%	51	79%	49	87%	53	85% 49	80	% 50	84%	50	82%	49	80%	50	75% 4	48 7	79%	49	81%	49 7	6%	47	58%	14 5	5%	42 5	56%	43 6	60% 46	72% 45	25%	32	53% 42
Grades	wws	SU 76	% 48	75%	47	77%	48	79%	50	82%	50	80%	50	84%	52	84% 50	789	% 48	75%	47	78%	48	78%	48	80% 4	48 7	74%	47	81%	49 8	80%	48	54%	39 57	7%	42			55% 47	61% 43	61%	41	58% 44
[Gr. 2-6] Sta	te 66	% 45	69%	45	70%	46	72%	46	72%	46	72%	46	73%	48	72% 46	639	% 43	65%	43	64%	43	66%	44	66% 4	44 6	66%	44	66%	44 7	'3%	45	51%	39 50)%	40	49%	40 5	6% 44	50% 41	50%	39	50% 41
6th Gr. in	WFI	S 72	% 49	9													84	% 5 ⁻	1																							1	
2004-0	5 WW	SU 75		7													75	••••	7																							,	
	Sta	te 69	% 4	4													60	% 4:	2																							,	.
6th Gr. ir	WFI	S 80	% 52	74%	48	3											85	% 5 ⁻	1 84%	50																							
2005-0	6 WW	SU 84	% 4	3 71%		6											79		75%																							,	.
	Sta	te 69	% 4	67%	46	6											63	% 4	3 63%	42																					\perp		
6th Gr. ir	WF	S 75		92%		83%	53										839	% 50	88%			48											58%	44								,	
2006-0	7 WW			77%		75%	47										76		76%		74%	45											54%	39								,	.
	Sta	te 64	% 4	4 69%	44	76%	47										63	% 4	3 64%	43	61%	42											51%	39									
6th Gr. in	WF	S 84	% 4	77%	49	90%	59	76%	53								689	% 4	91%	50	90%	51	76%	46											55%	42						,	
2007-0		SU 84		1 83%	48	85%	52	86%									82		1 83%	49	88%	50	80%	49											57%	42						,	
	Sta	te 64	% 4	4 69%	45	71%	46	76%	49								65	% 4	4 65%	43	66%	44	63%	43											50%	40					\perp		
6th Gr. in	WF	S 75	% 48	75%	48	76%	50	71%	46	67%	47						75	% 5 ⁻	1 69%	49	65%	49	71%	49	67%	45											56%	43				,	
2008-0	9 WW	SU 75	% 4	3 73%		70%	47	82%	49								77		66%		72%	47	76%	48	73%	47											55%	41				,	
	Sta	te 63	% 4	68%	45	70%	45	71%	46	72%	47						66	% 4	4 64%	43	64%	43	66%	44	62%	43											49%	40					
6th Gr. in	WF	s		77%	47	85%	49	85%	49	81%	50	70%	47						86%	48	80%	47	85%	50	71%	49	56%	43										6	60% 46			,	
2009-1				70%		73%	47	76%	48			72%	48						75%		70%	46	75%	46	77%			43											65% 47			,	
	Sta	te		71%	46	70%	45	70%	46	71%	47	70%	47						68%	45	63%	43	68%	44	67%	44	62%	42											56% 44				
6th Gr. ir	WF	S				93%	54	75%	58	100%	57	100%	59	94%	55						100%	53	92%	55	94%	54	94%	53	88%	50												,	
2010-1	1 WWS	SU				79%		77%	51	82%											84%	50	81%	50			78%		77%	46												,	
	Sta	te				71%	45	70%	45	73%	46	74%	47	77%	50						68%	44	69%	45	67%	44	67%	44	63%	43													
6th Gr. in	WF	s						78%	50	91%	53	92%	53	93%	55	91%	52						78%	50	83%	50	84%	49	80%	50	32%	47								72% 45	;	,	
2011-1	2 WW	SU						75%	48	80%	49	79%	49	80%	50	83%	52					••	79%	48	81%		76%	46	71%		73%	45								61% 43	3	,	
	Sta							71%	46	70%	45	72%			47	74%	19						66%	44	69%				66%	44		42								50% 41			
6th Gr. ir				1						64%							5		1											48		43										32	.
2012-1		_							ŀ	89%		86%			51	87%	52							-	87%		72%		73%		76%	46									59% 46%		
6th Gr. ir	Sta WFI									73%	46	70% 69%		74% 81%	47 51	73% 4 84% 4	17								66%	_	65% 77%		65% 78%		67%	44		_							46%		53% 42
2013-1												77%			*********		19												78%		72%	47											57% 43
2010	Sta	_										72%					16									_			69%		65%	44											51% 40
6th Gr. ir	_	_		1		1								78%			55		1			$\neg \dagger$		$\neg \dagger$					78%		31%	52		\dashv							+	$\neg \neg$	
2014-1														84%			51												84%		83%	50 50											.
2014-	Sta													72%			15		1										65%		68%	45											.
6th Gr. in				+										/0			9		+										30,0		30%	48				-		_			+	\rightarrow	
2015-1				1													19		1												82%	49										1	. ,
	Sta															68%	15														65%	44										1	, ,
																			-																						$\overline{}$		

By "Teaching Grade", Grades 2 to 6

Warren Elementary - 8 Years At-A-Glance

The NECAP tests grade 3 to 6 students in October, and results are reported here by "teaching grade", that is, by the grade the students were in the previous school year. NECAP results are shown in two ways; one, the percentage of students who met or exceeded the predetermined standard of proficiency, by subject; and two, the average score for each subject. The percentage achieving the proficiency standard can fluctuate greatly when a large number of students just barely "meet the standard" (a score of 40) one year, and then just barely miss it the pert year. When this papers, the percentage score may drop or rise sharply, although the average score is barely different. For this reason, it is best to keep an eye on both "% proficient" and actual scores in drawing conclusions.

miss it the	next yea	r. When	this ha	appens	, the p	ercent	age s	core r	may c	drop o	r rise	sharpl	y, alth	ough t	he ave	rage	core is	barely	differ	rent. F	or this	reaso	n, <u>it i</u>	is best to	keep	an eye	on bo	th "% p	roficie	nt" and	actua	scores	in dra	awing o	conclu	usions	, . <u>.</u>	J y G.	, u		. 20.0.,			
KEY:	% P€	rcent of	studer	nts with	a scc	ore of "	Profic	ient" d	or "Pr	roficier	nt with	ı Distir	nction'	(scale	ed sco	re of 4	0+)	SS -	- Ave	rage S	Scaled	Score																						
	Subject	Read	ling															Mat	h														1	Writi	ng									
Te	est Date:			Oct. '06	О	ct. '07	0	ct. '08	C	Oct. '09)	Oct. '1	10	Oct.	'11	Oct.	12	Oct. '0	05	Oct. '	06	Oct. '0	07	Oct. '08	3	Oct. '09		Oct. '10		Oct. '11	C	Oct. '12	c	Oct. '05	C	Oct. '06		Oct. '07	7 (Oct. '08	Oct. '10		t. '11	Oct. '12
Class of:			SS %									%	SS	%	SS	%	SS	%	SS	%	SS	%	SS	%	SS					%			SS	% S	S 9						% S	s %	SS	% SS
All	WES	84%	52	88%	51 8	88%	54 8	7%	53	98%	55	90%	54	92%	6 55	88%	55	78%	50	89%	51	90%	52	86% 78% 66%	51	92%	54	81%	51	87%	52.4	87%		63%						90% 54				67% 46
Grades	wwsu	76%	48	75%	47 7	77% 4	48 7	9%	50	82%	50	80%	50	84%	6 52	84%	50	78%	48	75%	47	78%	48	78%	48	80%	48	74%	47	81%	49	80%	48	54%	39 5	57%	42	55%	41	65% 47	61% 4	13 59	9% 41	58% 44
[Gr. 2-6]	State	66%	45	69%	45 7	70% 4	46 7	2%	46	72%	46	72%	46	73%	48	72%	46	63%	43	65%	43	64%	43	66%	44	66%	44	66%	44	66%	44	73%	45	51%	39 5	50%	40	49%	40	56% 44	50%	11 46	39	50% 41
6th Gr. in		78%	48															61%	47	•																								
2004-05	wwsu		47															75%																										
	State		44									↓						60%																										
6th Gr. in		96%	55		52													100%		92%																								
2005-06	wwsu		48		46													79%		75%																								
	State	-	45		46							\vdash		-		1		63%		63%				-																		+		
6th Gr. in		67%	46		45 8		47											78%		78%		85%		6											47									
2006-07	WWSU		46 44	77%	47		47											76% 63%		6 76% 6 64%		74%		5										54% 51%	39									
		64%										\vdash				1								2										51%								+		
6th Gr. in	WES	. [4	57		56 1	00%	60 8 52 8	6%	56									75%		100%	55	100% 88%	54													94%	57							
2007-08	WWSU	-		83% 69%	48 8	71%	46 7	76%	53 49									82% 65%		83%		66%	50 44												-	57% 50%	42							
6th Gr. in		80%	50		51 9		57 10			100%	53	\vdash				1		60%		91%		92%				100%	54									30 /0		67%	52			+		
2008-09	WWSU		48		47		47 8		49	79%								77%		66%		72%		***			54 47										- 1	55%	52					
2000-03	State			68%	45	70%	45 7	71%	46	72%								66%	44		43	64%	43	3 66%		62%	43											49%	40					
Calle Con line				80%	50 8		46 8			89%		91%	6 52			1		0070		80%		73%						82%	47									1070		90% 54		+		
6th Gr. in 2009-10	WES	-14		70%	46		40 0 47 7		48	78%										75%	46	70%	46			77%	54 48	65%											ŀ	90% 54 65% 47				
	State	++		71%			45 7		46	71%										68%		63%		3 68%		67%	44	62%											ı	56% 44				
6th Gr. in	WES	:					55 8		50	96%		92%		929	% 5	5						95%				92%	55			92%	52													
2010-11		-14					49 7		51	82%				80		33						84%		0 81%		82%	49				46													
	State	Ţ			-		45 7		45	73%	46		% 4	7 77								68%	44	4 69%		67%	44				43													
6th Gr. in	WES						8	9%	53	94%	56	95%	6 5	94	% 59	929	/ ₆ 56	5						94%	50	89%	51	79%	49	85%	54	87%	47								84%	49		
2011-12							7	75%	48	80%	49		% 4	80	% 5			2						79%		81%	49	76%				73%	45								61%	43		
	State						7	71%	46	70%				72		7 74		9						66%	44	69%	46					61%	42								50%	41		
6th Gr. in	WES	-14								100%				95		100°		3								83%		74%		90%		94%	52									79	48	
2012-13	WWSU State	Ή								89%				81'				2								87%	49	72%	49	73% 65%	47	76% 67%	46									59	9% 41 5% 39	l l
6th Gr. in	State		-+						\dashv	73%	46	85%		89		7 73° 8 83°		+		-		+		1		66%	44	65% 82%	44 49		43 53	67% 83%	51		\dashv						-	46	% i 39	67% 46
2013-14		-14										77%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		_		9									ŀ	78%	47	78%		72%	47											57% 43
	State											72%					_	3									ŀ	68%	44	69%		65%	44											51% 40
6th Gr. in	WES	:												919			% 52	2												84%		83%	50											
2014-15		ill												84				-1											j	84%	-	83%	50											
	State	+1												72				5											j	65%		68%	45											
6th Gr. in	WES															859	% 52	2														95%	62											
2015-16	wwsu	_														79	% 49	e														82%	49											
	State											Ш		1		68	6 45	5														65%	44								<u> </u>	\perp		

Waterbury-Duxbury - 8 Years At-A-Glance

New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP).

By "Teaching Grade", Grades 2 to 7

Waterbury-Duxbury - 8 Years At
The NECAP tests grade 3 to 8 students in October, and results are reported here by "teaching grade", that is, by the grade the students were in the previous school year. NECAP results are shown in two ways; one, the percentage of students who met or exceeded the pre-determined standard of proficiency, by subject; and two, the average score for each subject. The percentage achieving the proficiency standard can fluctuate greatly when a large number of students just barely "meet the standard" (a score of 40) one year, and then just barely miss it the next year. When this happens, the percentage score may drop or rise sharply, although the average score is barely different. For this reason, it is best to keep an eye on both "%"

proficient" and actua	l scores				"Proficie	nt" or "	'Proficient	with D	istinction	n" (sca	aled scor	re of 4	40+)	SS -	- Average Sc	aled Score	2								.,		. ,,									-	
		Reading		300,000					10111101101	, (000	a.ou 000.	0 0.	,		, worage co.	Math														Writing							
		Oct. '05	Oct.	'06	Oct. '0'	7	Oct. '08	Oc	t. '09	0	ct. '10		Oct. '11		Oct. '12	Oct. '05		Oct. '06	Oct	t. '07	Oct. 'C	18	Oct. '09	С	Oct. '10	Oct.	11	Oct. '12		Oct. '05	Oct. '0	6 (Oct. '07	Oct. '08	Oct. '10	Oct. '11	Oct. '12
Class of:		% SS	_		%		% SS			s %					% SS							SS	% 3								%	SS 9			% SS		% SS
	DSD				71%		74% 4	_							81 50					% 45					67% 4				45		46%	38 5		40 60% 43			
	VSU		7 76				79% 4				80%		83%			76%			46 75			47			73% 4						55%	42		42 62% 45			58% 44
	tate			0/ 47	70%	46	71% 4	6 7	3% 2	20 -	73%	47	7/1%	ΔΩ 1	72% 46	63%	47	64%	40 75	0/- 47	66%	41	67%	26	65% 4	1 167 1 65%	40		45		49%	40		40 55% 43			50% 41
	+			70 43	7070	70	7170	0 7.	3/0 2	.0	13/0	71	7470	40	72/0 40	Ħ	70	04 /0	43 03	/0 43	00 /0	44	07 /0	20	0370 4	4 037	0 44	7370			4370	40 .	FO 70	40 33 / 143	30 /0 42	33 /0 40	30 /0 41
ļ	DSD		14													61%	44													40% 35							
2004-05 WV	VSU	69%	16													65%	44													54% 42							
S	tate	63%	14													58%	42													54% 40							
7th Gr. in W	DSD	74%	6 64	% 43	3											75%	45	61%	41												44%	38					
2005-06 WV	vsu	75%	17 68	3% 46	3											75%	47	66%	44												52%	41					
S	tate	69%	14 65	5% 45	5											60%	42	59%	41												48%	40					
7th Gr. in W	DSD	68%	5 63	% 45	70%	49										77%	47	67%	44 67	% 44	1												59%	42			
ļ			18 71	•••••		49										79%		75%	47 71		••												55%	43			
H H			15 67			47										63%		63%	42 60	_													47%	40			
														1																			11 /0				
	DSD		6 74		74%			48										72%	45 66											45% 39				ļ	4		
			16 77		75%			47								76%		76%	46 74											54% 39 51% 39					2		
	tate				76%			46						-		63%		64%	43 61											51% 39				54%	12	1	
	DSD		0 81		84%					53						83%		80%	47 83					46							47%						
2008-09 WV			51 83		85%					54						82%		83%	49 88				, .	48							57%	42					
S	tate	64%	14 69	9% 45	71%	46	76%	49	74%	49						65%	44	65%	43 66	S% 44	4 63%	6 43	65%	43							50%	40					
7th Gr. in W	DSD	75%	7 65	% 44	62%	43	81%	49 8	84%	51	79%	52				87%	50	58%	44 62	% 44	72%	6 45	67%	45	69%	44						4	45%	37	67% 4	2	
2009-10 WV	vsu		18 73		7 70%	47	82%			49	79%	51				77%	48	66%	46 72	2% 47			73%		71%	45							55%	41	62% 4	2	
S	tate		16 68	3% 45	70%			46 7	72%	47	77%	50				66%	44	64%	43 64		3 66%	6 44		43	64%	43							49%	40	62% 4	2	
7th Gr. in W	DSD		67	% 49	63%	42	63%	44 6	69%	46	68%	46	71%	50				68%	44 51	% 41	1 63%	6 42	71%	45	57%	40 55	% 39							54%	.1	59% 4	2
ļ	vsu		70		•••••••		·····				-	48	76%	52			•	75%	46 70							43 65		-1							 17	59% 4	1
	tate		-	1% 46		-						47	79%	51				68%		3% 43			67%	44		42 64	_	-						56%		46% 3	. <u>.</u>
				70 40											=00/ =/	.†		0070											40					0070		4070	500/ 40
l k	DSD VSU				73%							49 53	83% 91%	51 54	76% 51	.4			76				70% 82%	45		45 62 ° 49 84		54% 70%	40 44								58% 42 75% 66 66% 43
h	tate				71%							47	77%	50	80% 5	-1				3% 44			67%	44		44 63		64%	43								66% 43
-			-		7 1 70					_			71%	50					00	70 4	_					42 61		-	41						47% 4	•	00% 43
	DSD VSU						·····					45	81%	52	74% 50 83% 52						73%		73% 81%	49		46 78		· 	41						60% 4	···	
														_		-					79%								43						50% 4	_	
	tate						71%		, .			46	72%	47	74% 49 90% 52						66%	6 44	69%	45 50		44 66°			42						50% 4	60% 4	_
	DSD													51	·····	-1																					ī.,
	VSU											_	86%	50	87% 52								87%			49 79			46							56% 4	- I
-	tate							-	73%				74%	47	73% 47								66%	44		44 65		67%	44						+	59% 4	
ļ	DSD										·····			•••••	80% 48	-										44 70		64%	44								52% 40
	vsu											47	81%	49	83% 49	-										47 82			47								57% 43
-	tate							_			72%	45	71%	46	72% 46										68%	44 69			44								51% 40
	DSD												83%	51	83% 51	-4										919	% 52	83%	51						1		
2015-16 WV	VSU												82%	50	83% 51	1										81	% 49	83%	50								
	tate												72%	46	70% 45						1					65	% 44	68%	45								
7th Gr. in W	DSD													<u> </u>	76% 49)												76%	47								
2016-17 WV	vsu													ı	79% 49	-												82%	49								
S	tate														68% 45	5												65%	44								

By "Teaching Grade", Grades 7 and 10

Harwood - 8 Years At-A-Glance

The NECAP tests grade 3 to 8 and 11 students in October, and results are reported here by "teaching grade", that is, by the grade the students were in the previous school year. NECAP results are shown in two ways; one, the percentage of students who met or exceeded the predetermined standard of proficiency, by subject; and two, the average score for each subject. The percentage achieving the proficiency standard can fluctuate greatly when a large number of students just barely "meet the standard" (a score of 40) one year, and then just barely miss it the next year. When this happens, the percentage score may drop or rise sharply, although the average score is barely different. For this reason, it is best to keep an eye on both "% proficient" and actual scores in drawing conclusions.

miss it the nex		When this	happe	ns, the	e percenta	age sc	ore may	drop or ris	se sh	narply, alth	hougl	h the ave		s bare	ely differ	ent. For	this re	eason, <u>it is</u>	s best to	keep	an eye c	on bot	th "% profic	cient"	and act	ual s	cores in	draw	ing con	clusion	<u>S.</u>) (,				
													e of 40+)	SS	Aver	age Sca	aled S	core																•	•		
Su	bject: I	Reading	g											М	ath													W	riting								
Test	Date:	Oct. '05	Oct. '	06	Oct. '07	Oct	t. '08	Oct. '09	o	Oct. '10	Oc	ct. '11	Oct. '12	Ос	t. '05	Oct. '06		Oct. '07	Oct. '08		Oct. '09		Oct.' 10	Oct	t.' 11	Oct	.' 12	Oct	. '05	Oct. '0	16	Oct. '0	07	Oct. '08	Oct. '10	Oct. '11	Oct. '12
Grad.																																					
Class of		% SS	%	SS	% SS	5 %	SS	% S	5 %	% SS	5 %	SS	% SS	9	% SS	%	SS	% SS	%	SS	%	SS	% SS	5 9	% SS	9	₀ SS	%	SS	%	SS	%	SS	% SS	% SS	% SS	% SS
	JM/HS																																				
+	WSU																																				
	State		-											╁						-						+		-									
	JM/HS VWSU																																				
	State																																				
	JM/HS				71%	46												33% 35														48%					
	vwsu				1170												ľ	0070 00	1													1070					
	State				68%	44												31% 34														39%					
Gr. 12 in HU	лм/нѕ	75% 4	7			71	% 46							69	% 45				46%	36								66	% 45	5				51%			
2009-10 V	vwsu	69% 4												65	5% 44													54	% 42	2							
	State	63% 4	-			73	% 46							58	3% 42				36%	36								54	% 40	ס				44%			
	JM/HS		84%					75%	48							71%	46				46%	37								59%							
	wsu		68%		-											66%	44			L										52%	41						
	State		65%	6 45				69%	46					-		59%	41			-	35%	36				+		-		48%	40						
	JM/HS				/ -	48				66% 4	45						Ŀ	74% 47					45% 3	37								50%	44		57%		
	VWSU State					49 47				72% 4	47							71% 45 60% 42					38% 3	26								55% 47%	43		49%	-	
					09%	75	% 48			12%		65% 43		+				00% 42	74%	45			30% 3	,,,	4% 3			1				4/%	-10	52% 41	49%	44%	
1	JM/HS VWSU					76						65% 43	4						71%	44				34	4% 3	٥								52% 41 58% 42		44%	1
	State					69					-	72% 47	,						63%	42				3	6% 3	6								54% 42		48%	1
	JM/HS							87%	54				76% 4	18							82%	49				43	3% 38	8									54%
2013-14 V	wsu								54												79%	48															
	State							74%	49				74%	47							65%	43				38	3% 3	36									47%
	JM/HS									78% 5													72% 4												60% 42		
	WSU									79% 5	51 50											-	71% 4												62% 42 62% 42		
	State		+					-		77%				+								-	64% 4					+							62% 42		
Gr. 12 in HL 2015-16 V	JM/HS											31% 54 76% 52												77	7% 4 55% 4	7										59% 4 56% 4	
	State											76% 52 79% 51													65% 4 64% 4	3										56% 4	1
Gr. 12 in HL	JM/HS								-			10/0 0	99% 5	59										0.	170	86	6% 4	8								3370 4	91% 51
2016-17 V	vwsu												87%	59 55 51												69	9% 4	14									73% 46
	State												79%	51												6	1% 4	13									66% 43

2012	WWSU								ing Gr	ades*	2 to 6	in '11-	12 A	II Stud	ents
	& by G	1	on 2-6		wn 2-6		ion sta ield 2-6	Warre	n 2-6	Wat_D	ux 2-6	\M\\M\S	SU 2-6	STAT	E 2-6
# Tested:	All	_	4	7			5	11			25		82	30,	
FRL-Elig. #		7	9%	22	29%	20	21%	43	38%	110	34%	204	30%	13.266	43%
In SPED - #		10	14%	23	30%	18	19%	10	9%	39	12%	100	15%	4,107	13%
Test Date &		% Profi-	Scaled	% Profi-	Scaled	% Profi-	Scaled	% Profi-	Scaled	% Profi-	Scaled	% Profi-	Scaled	% Profi-	Scaled
Sub-Group		cient	Score ^	cient	Score ^	cient	Score ^	cient	Score ^	cient	Score ^	cient	Score ^	cient	Score ^
Fall 2012	READI	NG: Stu	dents v	who we	re in gr	ades 2	to 6 the	previo	us sch	ool year	•				
ALL ST	JDENTS	85%	49	82%	49	85%	49	88%	55	81%	50	84%	50	71%	46
By Gender:	Male	86%	47	74%	45	78%	46	81%	52	79%	48	80%	48	65%	45
•	Female	87%	49	89%	54	90%	54	93%	58	82%	52	88%	53	77%	49
By Income	FRL-Elig.	100%	52	79%	45	79%	43	86%	53	70%	46	83%	48	59%	42
-	All Others	83%	48	83%	51	88%	53	89%	57	86%	52	86%	52	81%	50
By Special Ed	On IEP	84%	47	53%	40	42%	37	14%	27	14%	33	41%	37	22%	30
	All Others	85%	49	93%	54	92%	53	94%	57	90%	52	91%	53	79%	49
Fall 2012								,.				0.70		. 0,0	
	JDENTS	91%	51	75%	47	76%	47	87%	50	68%	44	79%	48	65%	44
By Gender:	Male	92%	51 50	71%	40 49	76%	44 49	86%	51 50	68%	45 46	79%	46	65%	43
	Female	93%	50	77%	49	76%	49	87%		72%	46	81%	49	67%	44
By Income	FRL-Elig.	92%	52	63%	44	58%	39	80%	47	55%	41	70%	45	52%	40
Status:	All Others	92%	51	79%	49	86%	49	94%	53	79%	48	86%	50	76%	47
By Special Ed	On IEP	73%	47	44%	38	35%	35	40%	28	27%	33	44%	36	21%	30
Status:	All Others	94%	52	90%	52	84%	50	93%	52	76%	47	87%	51	73%	46
Fall 2012	WRITIN	IG: Stu	dents w	ho wer	e in gra	ade 4 th	e previ	ous sch	nool yea	ar.					
ALL ST	JDENTS	72%	50	45%	42	53%	42	67%	46	52%	40	58%	44	51%	40
By Gender:	Male	67%	44	29%	38	33%	39	57%	42	27%	33	43%	39	39%	37
	Female	72%	51	75%	48	82%	46	75%	50	70%	46	75%	48	63%	44
By Income	FRL-Elig.	50%	41	0%	37	50%	36	22%	36	31%	37	31%	37	37%	36
-	All Others	75%	51	56%	43	55%	43	86%	50	61%	42	67%	46	61%	44
By Special Ed		33%	40	0%	32	11%	30	0%	28	10%	28	11%	32	8%	26
Status:	All Others	81%	52	56%	44	77%	48	80%	50	60%	43	71%	47	58%	43
Spring 201	2 SCII	ENCE:	Studen	ts who	were ir	grade	4 at the	time o	f the te	st.					
ALL ST	JDENTS	73%	46	73%	47	62%	42	76%	46	53%	39	67%	44	53%	40
By Gender:	Male	67%	44	71%	46	47%	38	79%	47	50%	37	63%	42	52%	40
	Female	75%	47	75%	49	82%	47	73%	45	53%	41	72%	46	54%	40
By Income	FRL-Elig.	33%	35	50%	41	33%	38	37%	40	38%	37	38%	38	37%	36
'	All Others	84%	49	78%	48	70%	43	90%	48	60%	41	76%	46	65%	43

³⁶ 50 * "Teaching Grade" -- The grade the student was in the prior school year. ^ On a scale from 0 to 80, a score of 40 is "proficient".

33%

76%

0%

92%

0%

89%

34

50

By Special Ed

On IEP

25%

25 42

12%

34


20%


31


42

0%


36

















							- MIDD	LE & HI	GH SCH	100L	All Stud	lents &	by
2011-12	Gender	r, Incom Crossett		ecial Ed Harwood		Status	Grado 8	State G	rado 9	Harwood	Grade 11	State G	rado 11
# Tested:	All												
# 103100.	All	7	0	6	4	13	34	6,3	63	14	14	6,4	49
FRL-Elig. #	t % of ΔII	19	27%	16	25%	35	26%	2,415	38%	36	25%	2,053	32%
In SPED - #		19	27%	6	9%	25	19%	923	15%	19	13%	835	13%
Test Date &		% Profi-	Scaled	% Profi-	Scaled	% Profi-	Scaled	% Profi-	Scaled	% Profi-	Scaled	% Profi-	Scaled
Sub-Group		cient	Score ^	cient	Score ^	cient	Score ^	cient	Score ^	cient	Score ^	cient	Score ^
Fall 2012		NG: Stud	dents wh	o were i	n grades	s 7 or 10	the pre	vious sc	hool yea	ar (in gra	des 8 ar	id 11 at t	he
time of the		700/	F4	000/	50	070/		000/	F4	700/	40	7.40/	47
ALL STU	JDENIS	76%	51	99%	59	87%	55	80%	51	76%	48	74%	47
By Gender:	Male	64%	46	97%	57	79%	51	75%	48	70%	46	69%	45
	Female	88%	56	100%	60	95%	60	86%	54	81%	51	79%	50
By Income	FRL-Elig.	63%	46	100%	52	80%	52	67%	45	64%	44	60%	42
Status:	All Others	80%	53	98%	61	88%	61	88%	55	80%	50	81%	50
By Special Ed	On IEP	47%	38	83%	47	56%	40	34%	34	16%	30	20%	30
	All Others	86%	56	100%	60	94%	58	88%	54	85%	51	82%	50
Fall 2012	· MAIH:	Student	s wno w	ere in gi	rades / (or 10 the	previou	is schoo	ı year (ii	n grades	8 and 1	1 at the 1	ime of
the test.)													
ALL STU		54%	40	86%	48	69%	44	64%	43	43%	38	38%	36
By Gender:	Male	48%	38	87%	48	65%	43	62%	42	48%	40	39%	36
	Female	62%	42	85%	48	74%	45	67%	43	37%	36	38%	36
By Income	FRL-Elig.	32%	36	69%	45	48%	40	47%	38	29%	34	21%	32
	All Others	63%	41	92%	49	76%	45	75%	45	48%	40	47%	38
By Special Ed	On IEP	11%	28	67%	40	24%	31	16%	29	6%	26	3%	23
	All Others	71%	44	88%	49	80%	47	72%	45	48%	40	44%	38
Fall 2012 the test).	- WRITIN	IG: Stud	ents wh	o were ii	n grade '	7 or 10 t	he previ	ous sch	ool year	(in grad	es 8 & 1 [,]	l at the t	ime of
ALL STU	IDENTS	58%	42	91%	51	73%	46	66%	43	54%	6.5	46%	6.2
By Gender:	Male	33%	36	86%	48	58%	42	53%	39	54%	6.5	38%	5.8
	Female	84%	49	94%	53	90%	51	80%	47	53%	6.6	55%	6.6
By Income	FRL-Elig.	45%	37	88%	45	65%	41	50%	39	37%	6.0	31%	5.4
Status:	All Others	62%	44	92%	52	76%	48	75%	45	59%	6.7	54%	6.5
By Special Ed	On IEP	17%	30	50%	41	25%	33	17%	29	17%	4.1	5%	3.7
	All Others	72%	46	93%	51	85%	49	75%	45	59%	6.9	52%	6.5
Spring 201 students tes					•	ide 8 or	11 at the	time of	tne test	. (These	are the s	ame coho	orts of
						400/		2051		2001		2001	
ALL STU		49%	38 35	31% 26%	35 34	40% 32%	36 35	30% 30%	35 35	29% 31%	34 33	33% 32%	35 34
By Gender:	Male	38%											
Du la com	Female	62%	41	35%	36	47%	38	29%	35	27%	36	33%	35
By Income	FRL-Elig.	32%	35	5%	28	20%	31	15%	31	7%	30	15%	30
	All Others	57%	39	39%	37	48%	38	39%	37	34%	36	40%	37
By Special Ed	On IEP	0%	23	0%	23	0%	23	3%	25	0%	19	1%	23
	All Others	61%	41	36%	37	47%	39	35%	37	32%	36	36%	36

[^] On a scale from 0 to 80, a score of 40 is "proficient".

